G R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1907-08
(Mwz -)

File No. 144-07

Serial Nos. 1-11

Papers Filed.

Month June 1913

SUBJECT.

Repairs to Vasanta Mantapam in the Compound of the Sri Trikkuneswara Swami Temple at Nanjengud

PREVIOUS REFERENCES	LATER REFERENCES
G.O. 1229-31 & 20 806	M.J. 257-13 Do 869-14

Assistance of Govt Servants

G.R.S. 11] 1907.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Miscl.)

NOTES.

File No. 144-07

FILL HEADING.

Repairs to Vasantamantapam in the Compound of the Sri Srikanteswara Swami temple at Nanjangud.

From

The Chief Engineer Pallipore

Letter No. 86 Dated 7-1-1908 Received 10.1.1908.

Diary No. 2779 Enclos. 9 Spare Copies - Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forwards two alternative Estimates for Rs 1392 and Rs 1480/- for rebuilding the Vasantamantapam - and suggests that the Estimate for Rs 1392/- may be sanctioned.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Sl 2. To the Chief Engineer No. 3530 Dt 3rd February 1908. Enquires what amount is available out of Rs 600/- sanctioned for removing plants from the Gopuram of the temple

Sl 3 From Do — No. 1576 Dt 26th February 1909

NOTES—First sheet

GPB—30,000—3-4-07

Serial No.	
	States that a Sum of Rs 498-6-11 is available and Solicits Sanction to the Estimate for Rs 1392/-
4	To The Chief Engineer No 1360 D/24th Mar 1909. States that the D C of the Mysore has been written to on the Subject and that on receipt of his reply thereto the Chief Engr will be addressed separately
5	From the Dy Comr Mysore Dt. No 371 D/12th April 1909 Requests Sanction to the Estimate for Rs 1480 for the renovation of the Vasanta mantapa and proposes to provide Steel Girders instead of teak wood beams and joists

Submd for orders with a draft.
16/4/09

Gov.

Draft Subd for approval forwarding Serial No 5 to the Chief Engineer and requesting him to forward a revised Estimate for Rs 1480/- as suggested by the Dy Comr. In submitted note the file
16/4/09 No. 449/1908.

Returned after receipt of letter from Chief Engr

Submitted to Land Committee

6/8/1909

Note—Contn sheet.

GPB—50.000—13-5-08

Serial No.

Second Councillor's remarks on file No. 449-0

"Why does Deputy Commissioner prefe
the mantap not to have Concrete roof te
the Amildar's report referred to in his
letter does not give reasons

Int D N V N
8 8. 1909

Wnte to D C
S
10/8/09

Draft Sub 2 10/8/09
by

Issue
S
10/8/09

6 To D. C. Mysore No. 414 D/10th August 1909
Enquires why the Concrete roof
is not preferred to the proposed
Vasanta Mantap.

7 From — Do — No. 76 D/14th August 1909
States that the wood work would
look more graceful than the
Concrete work and that the
armoured Concrete work is
still in the experimental stage.
and that he is not in favour of
the Concrete ~~work~~ one.

101

Submitted for orders to the Commissioner
by V V 18/8/09

1752½

Issue the draft
to Chief Engineer approved
S
21/8/09

Acting Secretary
24/8/09

The D C's views may be
accepted
S
25/8/09
26/8/09

Note—Contn. sheet.

GPB—59.000—1d.-5.-08

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 11 C/ of 190 /

Serial No.	
	Draft submitted forwarding the plans 1/10/09 Issued 1/10/09
8	To the Chief Engineer in Mysore No 615 & 30-8 1909 Forwards copy of Sl 5 and requests him to submit a revised estimate providing for steel girders instead of teakwood beams
9	To the Chief Engineer in Mysore No 966 & 1-10-09 Forwards the ~~plans connected~~ with the above & requests that the revised estimate may be sent early
10	From the Dy Comr, Mysore D. No 43 & 20/23-7-12 Submits 3 alternative estimates for Rs 1981, Rs 1345, and Rs 2730, and recommends that the estimate for Rs 1981/- may be sanctioned The Dy Comr's letter deals fully with the facts of the case. Subd for orders-(Attention is respectfully invited to Sl. 3 of the Agt (139) 1-8-12 file wherein the Chief Engineer states that a sum of Rs 498-6-11 is available ~~out of~~ in the Deposit accounts of the P.W.D, [redacted]

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13.5 08

Serial No.

~~assets~~ towards meeting the cost of the repairs in question)

cgl(139) 1-8-12) May the Estt. for 1981 Rs be sanctd as recommended by the Dy Conr?

Estt & Tools & Plant charges have not been provided for in the Estt. & a suppl. Estimate may be called for when sanctg the present Estimate.

1-8-12

What is the amount available at the credit of the Sirkar in the Cutcherry [The estimate also provides Rs 100 for recovery of Rs from the Superintendent. Does it mean that the trees have sprung since or that the experiment with the 'Sand eradicator' has not been a success.]

~~Put up a Suppl. Sanction by 30.5.13~~

~~The 1981 estimate if funds are available at the credit of the Sirkar in the Cutcherry~~

~~30.5.13~~

C.M.R.

From the Salut accounts received in the Muz. Supdt's office it is found that the temple had at its credit a sum of Rs 46,782-4-1 at the end of Febry 1913.

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

Serial No.

In his Docket no 688 & 29-9-06, the Ex. Engineer has reported to the Supdt. Engineer that the plants on the Gopuram were cut & removed, that the roots were treated with Scrub eradicator and ~~were~~ that the roots were stated to have died. In the present estimate a sum of Rs 100/- is provided for removing roots. ~~As the~~ in the Gopuram. As it is proposed to remove the roots in the Gopuram, it ~~seems~~ may perhaps be inferred that the roots treated with Scrub eradicator in 1906 did not die out as then reported. May the ~~Dy Executive Engr~~ Chief Engineer be requested to furnish the information required?

Subd. for orders, with a draft enquiry for approval or other orders.

Cegpl (139) 31-5-13. RL

Subd 15/5. Councillor

45/- As the estimate and plan have been prepared by the Executive Engineer, it may not be quite necessary to obtain from

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GTP—6M 00—17-6-12.

Serial No.

Chief Engineer's opinion also on this small estimate. If approved the estimate for Rs 1581 may be sanctioned the work be executed by Engineering Dept. The charge for Rs 100 for testing the mats in the approach may be accepted as it was nearly 7 years since they were last tested.

hs
L 6.13

I agree

20/6/13.

21/6

Draft.
hs
L 3.6.13

C.G.R.

Subd with a draft order

Capt (139) 24-6-13 Approved
hs
25.6.13

To The Chief Engineer Mysore
" Dc Mysore
" Comptr Bgaul 30.6.1913
2539-41 &

Sanctions the estimate for Rs 1981 with certain observations.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPE—G1,000—17-6-12.

MYSORE P W DEPARTMENT.

8/1/08

Papers sent

Send.

Please continue note

9.1.08

Sec to Govt
Muzrai
10.1.1908.

No.

From Supdg Engr 1st Circle.

THE CHIEF ENGINEER OF MYSORE,

Bangalore

To

The Secretary to Government

Muzrai department

Dated Bangalore, 7-1-08

Despatched

Enclosures No. 52 2 Estimates
1 Supdg Engrs docket-no 6125
1 Index.
2 Tracings
~~2 Maps~~
1 Copy of no 1886 D/10.9.07

SUBJECT.

No. 86

MYSORE P. W. DEPARTMENT.

CENTRAL OFFICE,

Bangalore, 7th January 1907

From

W. McHUTCHIN, ESQ., M.I.C.E.,

Chief Engineer of Mysore,

Bangalore.

To

L. Anantasami Rao Esquire, B.A.,

Secretary to the Government

of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Muzrai Department.

SIR,

With reference to Government Proceedings No. 2754 -6 dated the 24th November 1906, approving of the action taken in eradicating the plants on the Gopuram of the Sri-kanteswaraswami Temple at Nanjangud and stating that the pro-posals promised for other works to be done to the temple were awaited, I have the honor to forward for favour of dis-posal, the accompanying alternative estimates, received from the Superintending Engineer, Western Circle (together with copy of his no. 6125 dated 18-12-1906) amounting to Rs. 1,392 and 1,489 for rebuilding the Nasanitha pantapam in the compound of the temple. I am of opinion that the estimate amounting to Rs. 1,392 which provides for armoured concrete may be sanctioned.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Captain R.E.,

Deputy Chief Engineer of Mysore.

GPE—2 500—24-9 07

Docket No. 3125 dated 18th December 1950, from the Superintending Engineer, Western Circle, to the Chief Engineer of Mysore.

Chief Engineer's No. 8182 dated 14-12-1950 forwarding copy of Government Proceedings No. 2934-5 dated 24-11-1950 approving of the action taken in eradicating the plants on the Gopuram of the Srikanteswaraswami Temple at Nanjangud and stating that the proposals for other works to be done to the temple are awaited.

Adverting to the above, has the honor to submit herewith for sanction the accompanying modified estimate amounting to Rs. 1,392 for rebuilding the vasanthamantapam in the compound of the above temple and to inform the Chief Engineer, that the estimate includes provision for removing plants on the Gopuram already sanctioned by Government. Sanction is now solicited to the excess of Rs. 792 provided for in the modified estimate.

It is proposed to rebuild the Vasanthamantapam with armoured concrete roof.

An alternative estimate amounting to Rs. 1,480 for re-building the mantapam with terrace roof is also submitted. The excess in this case will be Rs. 880. Undersigned would recommend the estimate for armoured concrete work being sanctioned.

[illegible]

" true copy "

for Chief Engineer, of Mysore.

Muz. 1]

190

From

To The Chief Engineer

of Cuddapah

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No. 3335

No.

Enclosure No.

Sir I have the 8697 the

January 1908 forwarding

for sanction two alternative

Estimates for rebuilding the

Vasantha mantapa in the

Compound of the Sri Srikanta

swami Swami temple at

Nanjangud

---

with reference to the

above, has the honor to

state that out of Rs 600/-

G P O.—3,000—19-9-07

Sanctioned for removing
plants from the Compound
of the Sri Venkateswara
Swami temple at Nanjangud.
only Rs 81-5-6 were spent
on the work. The Chief Engr
is requested to take
whether the balance is
available in the hands of
the P. W. Department.

The favour of an early
reply is requested

Yours
31/1/08
Secretary
to Govt
28/1/08

12 FEB 308
EXECUTIVE E. N. CUPT.

Docket No: 123 dated 17-2-08, from the Executive Engineer, Mysore Division, to the Superintending Engineer, Western Circle

---

29/2/08

With reference to his docket No. 762 of the 12th instant, forwarding copy of correspondence from the Mysore Secretary enquiring regarding the amount available out of Rs. 600/- sanctioned for removing plants from the Gopuram of Sree Srekanteswara Swamy's temple at Nanjangud, has the honor to report that the total expenditure incurred on removing plants and repairing the Gopuram was Rs. 101-9-1, as per details noted in the margin The balance Rupees Rs. a p. 498-6-11 is held in the Deposit Accounts and can be utilized towards the construction of the Vasantha-mantapam

Outlay on works	91-14-8	
Establishment	9-3-1	
Tools	0-7-4	
Total	101-9-1	

/Sd/ B. Subba Rao,
Executive Engineer,
Mysore Division

P. T. O.

No: 1039 dated 26th February 1908.

Has the honor to forward copy to the Chief Engineer of Mysore for information with reference to his No 959 dated 7th instant

Chennakeshaiengar
For Superintending Engineer,
Western Circle



No. 15-2-5 Dated 14-2-1908.

Forwarded to the Secretary to Govt in the Municipal Dept for information with reference to his No. 3530 of the 3rd inst.

(B.O)
for Chief Engineer
of Mysore

No. 1576

MYSORE P. W. DEPARTMENT
CENTRAL OFFICE,
Bangalore, 26th February 1909

From

W. McHUTCHIN, ESQ., M.I.C.E.,
Chief Engineer of Mysore,
Bangalore

To

The Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

SIR,

I have the honor to invite attention to correspondence ending with this office No. 152b of the 29th February 1908 to the address of the Muzrai Secretary to Government and to request that you will be so good as to obtain the early sanction of Government to the alternative estimate amounting to Rs. 1,392 forwarded with this office No. 86 of the 7th January 1908 for rebuilding the Vasantha Mantapam in the compound of the Sri Srikanteswaraswamy Temple at Nanjangud, or to withdraw the deposit amount of Rs. 498-6-11 outstanding for a long time in the accounts of the Executive Engineer, if the estimate is not likely to be sanctioned early.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

W. McHutchin

Chief Engineer of Mysore.

GPB—2 700—21 9 07

MYSORE P. W. DEPARTMENT.

No.

From

THE CHIEF ENGINEER OF MYSORE,

Bangalore.

To

Dated Bangalore,

Despatched

Enclosure *No.*

SUBJECT.

G.R.S. 29A]

190

From

The Secy to the Govt of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl and Rev. Dept.

To The Chief Engineer of

Dated Mysore -

Despatched 24.3.09

Received

General No. 1361

No. - 4

Enclosure No.

With reference to his Letter No. 1576 D/26th February 09 enquiring whether there is any likelihood of Sanctioning the Estimate for Rs 13924 for rebuilding the Vasanta Mantapam in the Sri Venkatesa wara Swami temple at Nanjangud, has the honor to state that the Deputy Comr of the Mysore District has been written to on the subject and that on

receipt of his reply ~~thereto~~
Chief Engineer will be separately addressed, ~~[illegible]~~
the ~~same will be intimated~~
~~in due course~~

Bo

[illegible]
for Secretary

19/8/09

Muz. 1]

190

From

11/4

16/5 - 9%

To The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Dated

Despatched 16-4-1908

Received

General No 4467
No.

Enclosure No. 2 plans & 4 statements.

Has the honor to State that the Chief Engineer reports that in Govt. Pros. No. 1229-31 dy 20th August 1906 Out of Rs 600/- Sanctioned for the removal of plants &c grown on the Gopuram of the Sri Srikanteswara Swami temple at Nanjangud, a Sum of Rs 101-9-0 was spent on the work and that the balance of Rs 498-6-11 is available. He proposes that this balance may be utilized towards

G P L—3 000—19-8-07

of a Vasanta Mantapa in the Compound of the temple for which an Estimate for has been Rs 13921- is submitted for Sanction. In forwarding herewith the Plan and Estimate for the renovation of the Vasanta Mantapa, the Dy Comr is requested to favour Govt with his personal opinion in regard to the necessity or otherwise of the said Vasanta Mantapa.

2. The favour of an early reply is requested.

-x- Obs obliging returned

RO

13/4/45

Secretary

W 6/4/45

No. 371
Encl. No. 1 file

OFFICE OF THE Deputy Commr
MYSORE DISTRICT.
Dated Mysore Camp 12th April 1909.

FROM

M. Rantaraj Urs Esq., B.A.
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
MYSORE DISTRICT.

To
K. S. Chandrasekhara Iyer Esq B.A.B.L.
Secretary to Government
Mungrai Branch.

SIR

In reply to your docket No. 4499 dated 16th April 1908 and subsequent reminder No. 1361/144-07 dated 24th march 1909 regarding the construction of the Vasantha mantap in the compound of the Sri Srikanteswara Swami temple at Nanjangud, I have the honor to state that for reasons stated in the Amildar's report No. 178 dated 19.5.1908 and in the Sub Divisional officer's Yadi No. 271/853 dated 29/30.5.08, I request that Government may be pleased

pleased to order the reno-
vation of the Vasantha Man-
tap as per No 1 Estimate amount
-ing to Rs 1480/- filed in the
accompanying records.

I would also suggest
that instead of "Teak wood beams
and joists" "Steel girders" may
be provided in the said
estimate the other items being
retained.

The plans and estimates
are herewith returned.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
your most obedient
servant

S Venkatarangaiya
Deputy Commr.
Mysore District

B.A

Muz. 1]

190

From

To The Secretary Commr
Mysore District

Dated

Despatched 10th Nov 1909

Received

General No. 410

No.

R. 9 11. 09.

Enclosure No.

Sir,

Adverting to your
Letter No 3718, 12th April 1909
re the Construction of a
Vasanta mantap in the
Compound of the Sri Gulbarga
Vara Swami temple at
Nanjangud, I am directed
to observe that while the Chief
Engineer recommends the
Construction of the mantap
according to the Estimate

G.P.—3,000- 19-9-07

Rs 1392/- it is recommended by you that the other estimate for Rs 1480/- may be sanctioned I am to request why you prefer the mantap not to have concrete roof &c. The ~~Auildais report~~ ~~referred to in your letter under reply does not give reasons~~.

2. The enclosures to your letter under reply are herewith returned for reference and return. ~~together with detailed information called for above~~

I have &c

sd/-

for Secretary

Mr 10/8/09

M. F 144-07-7
1909-1918.

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No. 76

No.

Enclosure No

Ans
Refer conf
continue note
3

18/2/09

No. 76
Enc. No. 16 file

OFFICE OF THE Deputy Commr

MYSORE DISTRICT,

Dated Mysore Camp 14/16 August 1909.

To
K. S. Chandrasekhara Iyer Esq., B.A. B.L.

SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.
Bangalore Branch.

Sir,

In reply to your letter No. 414 in M.7.144-07-6 dated 10th August 1909 enquiring why I prefer the Vasanta Mantap not to have concrete roof &c, I have the honour to state that the wood work would look more graceful than the concrete work though the cost of the wood work is slightly in excess of the estimate for Rs 1392/-.

Moreover the armoured concrete work is still in the experimental stage.

I am therefore not in favour of the concrete work.

The enclosures received with the letter under reply are herewith returned.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

B.A.

Muz. 1]

(17)

190

From

To The Chief Engineer

of Mysore

Dated

Despatched 30 · 8 · 1909

Received

General No. 615

No. M-7-144-07-8

Enclosure No. 3

In Continuation of this Office No. 1360/Muz: 144-07-4 Dt 24th March 1909, has the honor to forward herewith Copy of letter No. 371 Dt 12th April 1909 from the Dy. Comr Mysore Dt re the Construction of a Vasanta Mantap in the Compound of the Sri Gurkantes-wara Swami temple at Nanjangud together with alternative Estimate for Rs 1392/- and 1480/- in original and to request

GPL—3,000—19-9-07

that ~~a revised~~ the revised Estimate
for ~~Rs 1480/-~~ providing therein for
the steel girders instead of
Teak wood beams and joists,
as suggested by the Dy Comr
in para 2 of his letter read above
and
~~may be~~ forwarded for Sanction.

B.O.

Sd/ 6/10/1917

for Secretary.

6/10/1917

Muz. 1]

190

From

To The Chief Engineer
of Nagpur

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.
No.

Enclosure No.

In continuation of this
Office No 615/Dr 12 144 07-6
dated 30th August 1907
have the honour to forward 2
Plans re the Construction of
a Masonry Drain in the
Compound of the Sri Venkatesa
Swami Temple at
Nanjangud and to request
that a receipt may be
advised for same

B.P.
5/-
11/11/08

G.P.B.—3,000.—19-9-07

11/11/08
Secretary to Govt

DIARY No 169

13/2-19 13
SECTION Mus
FILE No. 144-07
ITEM No. 10

1/7/19

From K Krishna Iyer Esqr,
Offg: Dy: Commr,
Mysore District

To K A Seshagiri Iyengar Esqr, M A
Offg: Secy to the Govt
of Mysore,
Govt & Revenue Sects

Dated 20th July 1912 Mukkanna Sastri

Despatched 23rd July 1912

Received

General No. 45
No.

Enclosure No. 1 estimate

Submits three estimates for renovating the Vasanthamantapam, at Srikanteswaraswami temple, at Nanjangud.

No. 43/Cul 3

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District,
Dated, Mysore, 20/23rd July 1912.

From

K.Krishna Iyer Esquire.,
Offg.Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To

K.R.Srinivasa Iyengar Esquire. M.A.,
Offg.Secretary to the Government of Mysore.
General and Revenue Departments.
Muzarai Branch.

Sir,

I have the honour to state that in the matter of the construction of the Vasanta Mantap in the compound of the Sri Srikanteswaraswamy temple at Nanjungud Mr.Kantaraj Urs my predecessor, requested in his letter No.371 dated 12th April 1909 addressed to you that Government might be pleased to order the renovation of the Vasanta Mantap as per No.1 estimate amounting to Rs 1480/- and suggested that instead of teak-wood beams and joists steel girders might be provided in the said estimate, the other items being retained.

2 Referring to this office letter of the 12th April 1909 quoted above, the Executive Engineer, Mysore Division, forwarded for the opinion and countersignature of the Deputy Commissioner a revised plan and estimate amounting to Rs 1981/- for the renovation of the Vasanta Mantap with his letter No.397 dated 5th December 1911 and stated that none of the old pillers or wooden materials were available and in building a new Mantapam it seemed to him a stone Mantapam would be in keeping with the temple. He further stated that barring two steel girders in the middle all the rest would be of stone and the girders would also be cased to look like stone.

3 Mr.Kantaraj Urs, in his letter No.227 of the 16/18th December 1911, returned the estimate and plan and stated that

that before countersigning the estimate he wished to be informed if it would not be desirable that the structure should be more architectural and if it might not be more convenient to use materials of one denomination instead of mixing up steel and stone works in the structure. He wished further to be informed whether it would not be desirable to have ornamental Iron pillars and steel girders and beams to match. Arched masonry roof in his opinion, appeared elegant. He therefore requested the Executive Engineer to kindly set up a fresh estimate in the light of his observations, if his suggestions met with the Executive Engineer's approval and if the cost of the estimate did not exceed the estimated amount viz., Rs 1981.

4 The Executive Engineer has now forwarded for opinion and return two alternative designs with his letter No. 179 dated 1/3rd July 1912. One estimate works up to Rs 1345/-, while the other works up to Rs 2770/-.

5 The marginally noted estimates with the reports and tracings are herewith submitted in original for reference.

1. Estimate for Rs 1981 with 6 enclosures
2. Estimate for Rs 1345 with one report
3. Estimate for Rs 2730 with 5 enclosures

6 The design for a bandstand, though reported to be chaste and ornamental is, in my opinion, not suited for a temple. Moreover the cost comes to something like Rs 2730/-. Of the remaining two estimates I would prefer the estimate for Rs 1981/- as a stone mantapam will not only be substantial but will also be in keeping with the temple.

7 If my view meets with the approval of Government I request that sanction may be accorded to the estimate for Rs 1981/- and retransmitted to this office for ~~having~~ being sent to the Executive Engineer, Mysore Division, for further

further action.

I have the honour to be,

sir,

Your most obedient servant,

In charge Deputy Commissioner.

Mysore District.

No. 6343

MYSORE P. W. DEPARTMENT,

CENTRAL OFFICE,

Bangalore, ...1st August ..191 2.

From

M. VISVESVARAYA, ESQ., M. I. C. E., F.I. .,

Chief Engineer of Mysore,

Bangalore.

To

K. R. Srinivasiengar, Esq.,

Offg. Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysor ,

General and Revenue Departments.

SIR,

Adverting to correspondence ending with this office No. 2956 of the 15th April 1912, I have the honour to inform you that t Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, has since reported that the estimates for renovating the Vasantamantapam of the Sri Srikanteswa Swami temple at Kanjangud have been forwarded to you direct with hi letter No.43 of the 20th July 1912.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M. Thimmaiah

for Chief Engineer of Mysore.

DIARY No.....



MYSORE P. W. DEPARTMENT.

No 6343

From

THE CHIEF ENGINEER OF MYSORE,

Bangalore.

To

The Secretary to the Govern
of Mysore,
General and Revenue

Dated Bangalore. 1st August 1912.

Despatched 2nd August 1912

Enclosure No Nil.

SUBJECT.

Informing that the Deputy
Mysore District, has sinc
that the estimates for renovating
the Vasanta Mantapam of the Sri
Srikanteswaraswami temple
Nanjangud have been for
4 Goat.

GPB—1500—1-8-12

Sanctions an estimate for recons-
tructing the Vasantamantap in the
Compound the Nanjangud Temple.

23

G.R.S. 28]

File No. 144-07
Serial No. 11

Diary No. of letter replied to 169

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
( )

the 191 .

READ—

G.O. No. 1229-31 & 20-8 1906 sanctioning an estimate for Rs 600/- for the removal of the plants that had grown

Date of issue 30th June 1913

Signed by Hravanandrengar

~~ORDER THEREON:~~ No.

on the gopuram of the Sri Srikanteswaraswami temple at Nanjangud and directing that the work should be carried out by the P.W. Dept.

over.

SUBJECT.

Correspondence ending with letter No 1576 d 26-2-09 from the Chief Engineer in Mysore stating that out of the sum of Rs 600/- sanctioned above, only a sum of Rs 101-9-1 was actually spent for the purpose and that the balance of Rs 498-6-11 is still held in the Deposit Accounts of the Ex. Engineer's office and can be utilised for the reconstruction of the Vasanta mantap in the Compound of the temple

Correspondence ending with letter No 43 d 23-7-12 from the Dy Comr, Mysore &c. submitting for sanction 3 (alternative) estimates for Rs 1981/-, Rs 1345/- and Rs 2730/- in the matter of reconstructing the Vasantamantap in the

GPB—20,000—2 10 11

G.R S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(*Continuation Sheet draft form*)

Compound of the temple and recommending that the estimate for Rs 1981/-, which in his opinion appears to be the most suitable one, may be sanctioned

Order thereon, No 2539-41/En14 Vol file Dy 30 6 913

In these ~~Under the foregoing~~ circumstances, the estimate for Rs 1981/- is sanctioned, the cost being met as follows—

1) Rs 498-6-11 from the amount stated to be available in the Deposit accounts of the office of the Ex. Engineer, Mysore Division

2) Rs 1482-9-5 from the funds at the credit of the institution.

GPB—10,000—22 2 11

The ~~Comptroller~~ work should be carried out by the P. W. Dept. The Comptroller is requested to place the Sum of Rs 1982-9-5 also at the credit of the Chief Engineer in Mysore.

A supplemental estimate for the usual establishment, tools & plant charges ~~may also~~ should be submitted - ~~for Govt Sanction~~ ~~to-day~~

lch
25.6.13
For Secretary

To

RL
24.6.13

The Chief Engineer in Mysore with sanctd estt. & its enclosures

" ~~Com~~ Dy Comr., Mysore Dt. with copy of abst. estt.

" Comptroller to Govt. and other enclosures

Dft
Cyl (139)
24-6-13